

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webje.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/569,713	02/24/2006	Marc Ramael	DECLE112.001APC	5935	
20995 KNOBBE MA	7590 03/31/200 RTENS OLSON & BE	EXAM	EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN S'	TREET	COUNTS,	COUNTS, GARY W		
FOURTEENT IRVINE, CA 9		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		1641			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/31/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/569,713	RAMAEL ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
GARY W. COUNTS	1641		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -- Period for Reply

eamed	patent t	enn a	ajustmer	n. See 3	CFR	1.704(0).

reliou for Keply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET: WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING DATE OF T Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.158(a). In no e 18 NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and 18 NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and 17 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ag Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the making date of this ceared patient term adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.7046.	THIS COMMUNICATION. vent, however, may a reply be timely filed will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, pplication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 February 20	<u>006</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except 	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Q	Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-7 and 28-33 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from o	onsideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 28-33</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election	requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b	o) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is requ	ired if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. N	Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Ru	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the cer	* **					
occurred detailed office action for a list of the cor	and copies not received.					
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S6/08)	5). Notice of Informal Patent Application.					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 02/24/06.

6) Other: __

Application/Control Number: 10/569,713 Page 2

Art Unit: 1641

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

 The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 50, line 32 the disclosure "enzym" should be -enzyme-.

Appropriate correction is required.

The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Sequence Compliance

The specification lists sequences on page 41, lines 5-7, e.g.,
 GGATTATTGTTAAATATTGATAAGGA, there has been no CRF submitted or paper copy of the "Sequence listing". Further, The sequences listed in the specification should include sequence identifiers.

Appropriate correction is required.

- (1) The application clearly fails to comply with the requirement of 37 C.F.R. 1.821-1.825. Applicant's attention is directed to the final rulemaking notice published at 55 FR 18230 (May, 1990), and 1114 OG 29 (May 15, 1990). If the effective filing date is on or after July 1, 1998, see the final rulemaking notice published at 63 FR 29620 (June 1, 1998) and 1211 OG 82 (June 23, 1998).
- (2) This application does not contain, as a separate part of the disclosure on paper copy, a "Sequence Listing" as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(c).

Application/Control Number: 10/569,713

Art Unit: 1641

(3) A copy of the "Sequence Listing" in computer readable form has not been submitted as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e).

Applicant must provide:

An initial or substitute computer readable from (CFR) copy of the "Sequence Listina".

An initial or substitute paper copy of the "Sequence Listing", as well as an amendment directing its entry into the specification.

A statement that the content of the paper and computer readable copies are the same and, where applicable, include not new matter, as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e) or 1.821(f) or 1.821(g) or 1.825(d).

The time for reply of the sequence compliance is set to the time of reply for the office action.

For questions regarding compliance to these requirements, please contact:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 1-7 and 28-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. A washing step(s) critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). On page

Application/Control Number: 10/569,713

Art Unit: 1641

45, lines 19-21 discloses that labeled antibody is added and then wash steps performed. Page 62, lines 20-27 discloses that labeled antibody is added and then wash steps performed before detection. It appears that all embodiments contained within the specification include wash steps to remove unbound labeled antibody. The instantly recited claims recite labeled antibodies and labeled polypeptides utilized in the method but do not require a wash step. The invention as recited cannot work because if the unbound labeled antibody and unbound labeled polypeptide is not removed from the assay system there will be a continuous false positive reaction that would occur and one would not be able to differentiate bound from unbound labeled antibody or polypeptide. Therefore, a wash step(s) to remove unbound labeled polypeptide and unbound labeled antibody must be incorporated in order for the invention as claimed to be enabled

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 1-7 and 28-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 steps (a) –(b) are vague and indefinite because it is unclear what relationship exits between the components and one or more probes of step (a) and the one or more tagged probes and the tag-labeled sample comprising components of step (b). Does the components of (a) bind or somehow react with the tag-labeled sample comprising components? Does the components of (a) bind or react with the one or

Application/Control Number: 10/569,713

Art Unit: 1641

more tagged probes in (b)? Also, what is the relationship of the components of step (a) with the reagents of step (b)? What happens if one or more probes of step (a) are incubated with one or more tagged probes of step (b)? Method claims should clearly set forth the various method steps in a positive, sequential manner using active tense verbs such as mixing, reacting and detecting. Method steps should clearly state each component used in the method and the relationship of the various components, and should not be a mere cataloging of parts. The claims should also conclude with a step relating the method result to the purpose of the method, preferably to the purpose as also set forth in the preamble of the claim.

Claim 1 step (b) is vague and indefinite because it is unclear if the sample is tagged or if the components of the sample are tagged. The preamble of the claim recites detecting one or more components. Thus, it appears that the components of step (b) would need to be tagged to provide for detection. The claim as currently recited does not make clear if the sample is tagged of if the components are tagged. Please clarify.

Claim 4, line 4 the recitation "step e1)" is vague and indefinite. It is unclear what applicant is referring to. There is no step e1 recited in the claims. Please clarify.

Claim 5 is vague and indefinite because it contradicts Claim 1. Claim 1 requires applying one or more samples comprising components to be detected or one or more probes onto a solid support. However, claim 5 recites that step a) is not performed by the user. Is step a performed or not? It is unclear what applicant intends to encompass.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/569,713

Art Unit: 1641

Claim 5 the recitation "the user" there is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation

Claim 7, line 3 the recitation "the positions" there is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation

Conclusion

- No claims are allowed.
- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Hechinger (US 2003/0008410) disclose methods for detecting one or more analytes in a sample. Hechinger disclose the use of multiple antibodies for the detection process and teaches an attached indicator system.

LaMotte, III (US 5,296,347) disclose immunoassay methods comprising multiple antibodies with labels and tags for detecting an analyte of interest.

Nilsen (US 6,110,687) disclose antibody conjugates used in methods for detecting analytes.

Lansdorp (US 4,868,109) disclose an antibody complex comprising two different antibodies. Lansdorp disclose that this complex is used as a labeled antibody in immunoassays.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GARY W. COUNTS whose telephone number is (571)272-0817. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 - 4:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/569,713 Page 7

Art Unit: 1641

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on (571) 272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/ Gary W. Counts/ Examiner, Art Unit 1641

/Long V Le/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1641